

2020/7/25

(Uto-Q blog "Very low-key(=plain) suggestion")

"We will shift to 100% of telework and will cancel the rental contract of office. It'll bring us the fixed costs also reduced and it is a good thing for us. We will not restore it again."

One of CEO said with cheerful face.

"We were planning to 100% telework, but we changed the idea from it to merely downsized office scale.

Because 100% telework is good for the current work, but it is not enough to build a future business. Then, I knew that it would be better to face the face working style for coming ideas up " said other CEO with a somewhat uneasy look.

By the way, if I am asked which company you will invest, maybe I would take the latter.

Why? One is No case 100%. And another is that from it, always happen swing back later. In addition to the reason for the latter president mentioned above, for example, it is imaged that there will always be a reaction of humanity such as no sense of solidarity among the members or something lacking or lonely.

If it is so, at now evaluation level is poor compared to 100% of the telework rate, but as we preliminarily weaved it in, the response speed was overwhelming when we went to the other side after Corona over

This is because betting on the latter, which may be faster, will give better total performance.

There is no case of 100% in the world. I think that it is better to always incorporate it from the beginning of the term.